

Mean Values of $\zeta'/\zeta(s)$, Correlations of Zeros, and the Distribution of Almost Primes

Yoonbok Lee
(with David Farmer, Steve Gonek and Steve Lester)

University of Rochester

Aug 21, 2011

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$

- 1 On $\text{Re } s > 1$,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

- 2 Analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.
- 3 Functional equation $\xi(s) := \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s) = \xi(1-s)$.
- 4 No zeros outside of the critical strip $0 < \text{Re } s < 1$ except trivial zeros $-2, -4, -6, \dots$

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$

- 1 On $\text{Re } s > 1$,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

- 2 Analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.
- 3 Functional equation $\xi(s) := \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s) = \xi(1-s)$.
- 4 No zeros outside of the critical strip $0 < \text{Re } s < 1$ except trivial zeros $-2, -4, -6, \dots$

Riemann Hypothesis

All the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are on the critical line $\text{Re } s = 1/2$.

In this talk, we assume RH!

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

Assume RH. Define

$$F(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where $1/2 + i\gamma$ and $1/2 + i\gamma'$ are zeros of $\zeta(s)$
and $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ is a weight function.

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

Assume RH. Define

$$F(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where $1/2 + i\gamma$ and $1/2 + i\gamma'$ are zeros of $\zeta(s)$
and $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ is a weight function. Then

- 1 $F(\alpha, T)$ is even.

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

Assume RH. Define

$$F(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where $1/2 + i\gamma$ and $1/2 + i\gamma'$ are zeros of $\zeta(s)$
and $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ is a weight function. Then

- 1 $F(\alpha, T)$ is even.
- 2 $F(\alpha, T)$ is nonnegative.

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

Assume RH. Define

$$F(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where $1/2 + i\gamma$ and $1/2 + i\gamma'$ are zeros of $\zeta(s)$
and $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ is a weight function. Then

1 $F(\alpha, T)$ is even.

2 $F(\alpha, T)$ is nonnegative.

Since $w(\gamma - \gamma') = 2/\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+(t-\gamma)^2)(1+(t-\gamma')^2)}$, we see that

$$F(\alpha, T) = \frac{4}{T \log T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma < T} \frac{T^{i\alpha\gamma}}{1 + (t - \gamma)^2} \right|^2 dt \geq 0.$$

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha, T) &= \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= \frac{4}{T \log T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma < T} \frac{T^{i\alpha\gamma}}{1 + (t - \gamma)^2} \right|^2 dt \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

Assume RH. For $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have

$$F(\alpha, T) = |\alpha| + o(1) + T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T(1 + o(1)).$$

Conjecture 1

$$F(\alpha, T) = 1 + o(1) \text{ for } \alpha > 1.$$

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha, T) &= \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= \frac{4}{T \log T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma < T} \frac{T^{i\alpha\gamma}}{1 + (t - \gamma)^2} \right|^2 dt \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

Assume RH. For $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have

$$F(\alpha, T) = |\alpha| + o(1) + T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T(1 + o(1)).$$

Conjecture 1

$$F(\alpha, T) = 1 + o(1) \text{ for } \alpha > 1.$$

- Spike

Pair Correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$F(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma')$$
$$= \frac{4}{T \log T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma < T} \frac{T^{i\alpha\gamma}}{1 + (t - \gamma)^2} \right|^2 dt \geq 0.$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

Assume RH. For $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have

$$F(\alpha, T) = |\alpha| + o(1) + T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T(1 + o(1)).$$

Conjecture 1

$$F(\alpha, T) = 1 + o(1) \text{ for } \alpha > 1.$$

- Spike
- Difficulty of Conjecture 1

$F(\alpha, T)$

Assume RH and let

$$G(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}\right)^2 w(\gamma - \gamma').$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $G(\alpha, T) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{3}$.

Theorem[Goldston, Gonek] 1990

For $a > 0$, β real, and $T \geq 2$,

$$a \left(a - \frac{1}{2} G\left(\frac{a}{2}, T\right)\right) \leq \int_{\beta}^{\beta+a} F(\alpha, T) d\alpha \leq a \left(G(a, T) + \frac{1}{2} G\left(\frac{a}{2}, T\right)\right).$$

$F(\alpha, T)$

Assume RH and let

$$G(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}\right)^2 w(\gamma - \gamma').$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $G(\alpha, T) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{3}$.

Theorem[Goldston, Gonek] 1990

For $a > 0$, β real, and $T \geq 2$,
 $a \left(a - \frac{1}{2}G\left(\frac{a}{2}, T\right)\right) \leq \int_{\beta}^{\beta+a} F(\alpha, T) d\alpha \leq a \left(G(a, T) + \frac{1}{2}G\left(\frac{a}{2}, T\right)\right).$

As a consequence, $\int_{\beta}^{\beta+1} F(\alpha, T) d\alpha$ is bounded.

Sketched proof of Montgomery's Theorem

Assume RH and let

$$G(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}\right)^2 w(\gamma - \gamma').$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $G(\alpha, T) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{3}$.

(Sketched proof) If \hat{r} is the Fourier transform of r , then

$$r(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(v) e^{2\pi i uv} dv.$$

Sketched proof of Montgomery's Theorem

Assume RH and let

$$G(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}\right)^2 w(\gamma - \gamma').$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $G(\alpha, T) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{3}$.

(Sketched proof) If \hat{r} is the Fourier transform of r , then

$$r(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(v) e^{2\pi i u v} dv.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} r((\gamma - \gamma')(2\pi)^{-1} \log T) w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(v) T^{i(\gamma - \gamma')v} dv\right) w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} T \log T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(v, T) \hat{r}(v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Sketched proof of Montgomery's Theorem

Assume RH and let

$$G(\alpha, T) = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}\right)^2 w(\gamma - \gamma').$$

Theorem[Montgomery]

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $G(\alpha, T) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{3}$.

(Sketched proof) If \hat{r} is the Fourier transform of r , then

$$r(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(v) e^{2\pi i u v} dv.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} r((\gamma - \gamma')(2\pi)^{-1} \log T) w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(v) T^{i(\gamma - \gamma')v} dv\right) w(\gamma - \gamma') \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} T \log T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(v, T) \hat{r}(v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $r(u) = ((\sin \pi \alpha u) / \pi \alpha u)^2$, then (LHS) = $\left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right) G(\alpha, T)$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(v, T) \hat{r}(v) dv = \frac{1}{v^2} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} (\alpha - |v|) F(v, T) dv.$$

Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery's work

Let $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$, where $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if n is a prime power p^k and $\Lambda(n) = 0$ otherwise. Define

$$I(\sigma, T) = \int_1^T |\zeta'/\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt$$

$$P(\beta, T) = \int_1^\infty (\psi(x + x/T) - \psi(x) - x/T)^2 x^{-2-2\beta} dx$$

Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery's work

Let $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$, where $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if n is a prime power p^k and $\Lambda(n) = 0$ otherwise. Define

$$I(\sigma, T) = \int_1^T |\zeta'/\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt$$

$$P(\beta, T) = \int_1^\infty (\psi(x + x/T) - \psi(x) - x/T)^2 x^{-2-2\beta} dx$$

Note that PNT says $\psi(x) \sim x$.

Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery's work

Let $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$, where $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if n is a prime power p^k and $\Lambda(n) = 0$ otherwise. Define

$$I(\sigma, T) = \int_1^T |\zeta'/\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt$$

$$P(\beta, T) = \int_1^\infty (\psi(x + x/T) - \psi(x) - x/T)^2 x^{-2-2\beta} dx$$

Note that PNT says $\psi(x) \sim x$. Assume RH and suppose $A > 0$ is fixed. If there exists a number $f(A)$ such that one of the following asymptotic formulas is true as $T \rightarrow \infty$, then all of them are true:

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{\log T}; T\right) \sim f(A) T \log^2 T,$$
$$\int_{0+}^\infty F(\alpha; T) e^{-2A\alpha} d\alpha \sim f(A),$$
$$P\left(\frac{A}{\log T}; T\right) \sim f(A) \frac{\log^2 T}{T}.$$

Higher Analogue of I

$N = J + K \geq 2$, $J \geq 0$, $K \geq 1$.

$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$, $\varepsilon_j = 1$ for $j \leq J$, $\varepsilon_j = -1$ for $J < j \leq J + K = N$.

$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n > 0$ and $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.

Here $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ means there exist constants $0 < A_n \leq A'_n$ such that $A_n/\log T \leq |a_n| \leq A'_n/\log T$.

Our generalization of the mean value $I(\sigma; T)$ is

$$I(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) dt.$$

Higher Analogue of I

$$N = J + K \geq 2, J \geq 0, K \geq 1.$$

$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$, $\varepsilon_j = 1$ for $j \leq J$, $\varepsilon_j = -1$ for $J < j \leq J + K = N$.

$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n > 0$ and $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.

Here $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ means there exist constants $0 < A_n \leq A'_n$ such that $A_n/\log T \leq |a_n| \leq A'_n/\log T$.

Our generalization of the mean value $I(\sigma; T)$ is

$$I(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) dt.$$

When $N = 2$, $\varepsilon = (1, -1)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a, a)$, we have

$$I(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_0^T \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a + it) \right|^2 dt.$$

Higher Analogue of F

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1})$ with $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Our generalization of $F(\alpha; T)$ is

$$F(\alpha; T) = N(T)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} T^{i \sum_{n < N} \alpha_n (\gamma_n - \gamma_N)} w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_N, \dots, \gamma_{N-1} - \gamma_N)$$

where $N(T)$ is the number of zeros $\beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$ and $w(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{4}{4+x_n^2}$ is a weight function. Note that $N(T) \sim \frac{T}{2\pi} \log T$.

Higher Analogue of F

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1})$ with $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Our generalization of $F(\alpha; T)$ is

$$F(\alpha; T) = N(T)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} T^{i \sum_{n < N} \alpha_n (\gamma_n - \gamma_N)} w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_N, \dots, \gamma_{N-1} - \gamma_N)$$

where $N(T)$ is the number of zeros $\beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$ and $w(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{4}{4+x_n^2}$ is a weight function. Note that

$$N(T) \sim \frac{T}{2\pi} \log T.$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_n = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ for $1 \leq n < N$ and $\mathbf{e}_N = (-1, \dots, -1)$. Then $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \alpha_n$, $1 \leq n < N$ and $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_N = -\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{N-1}$ and

$$F(\alpha; T) = N(T)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} T^{i \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n) \gamma_n} w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_N, \dots, \gamma_{N-1} - \gamma_N).$$

Higher Analogue of F

$$F(\alpha; T) = N(T)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} T^{i \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n) \gamma_n} \mathbf{w}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_N, \dots, \gamma_{N-1} - \gamma_N).$$

Note that $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \alpha_n$, $1 \leq n < N$ and $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_N = -\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{N-1}$.

When $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = 0$ for some $1 \leq n \leq N$, there is no cancelation on the sum over γ_n .

Thus, we expect that $F(\alpha; T)$ has *Spike* along the hyperplanes $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = 0$, $1 \leq n \leq N$.

We write $F^*(\alpha; T)$ for the part of $F(\alpha; T)$ that is supported outside the spikes from the lower correlation terms.

Hypothesis AC on $F(\alpha; T)$

$F^*(\alpha; T)$: the part of $F(\alpha; T)$ supported outside the spikes from the lower correlation terms.

Hypothesis AC

We have

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_1+1} \cdots \int_{x_{N-1}}^{x_{N-1}+1} |F^*(\alpha; T)| d\alpha \ll 1$$

uniformly for $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

That is, averages of F^* is bounded. When $N = 2$, Hypothesis AC is known.

Hypothesis AC on $F(\alpha; T)$

$F^*(\alpha; T)$: the part of $F(\alpha; T)$ supported outside the spikes from the lower correlation terms.

Hypothesis AC

We have

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_1+1} \cdots \int_{x_{N-1}}^{x_{N-1}+1} |F^*(\alpha; T)| d\alpha \ll 1$$

uniformly for $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

That is, averages of F^* is bounded. When $N = 2$, Hypothesis AC is known.

Let $F_*(\alpha; T) = F(\alpha; T) - F^*(\alpha; T)$. How small F_* is?

Inside the spikes ($N = 3$)

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Suppose $\alpha_2 = 0$. Then

$$F(\alpha_1, 0; T) = N(T)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 < T} T^{i\alpha_1(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3)} w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3, \gamma_2 - \gamma_3).$$

Summing over γ_2 , we expect that

$$F(\alpha_1, 0; T) \sim \frac{\log T}{N(T)} \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_3 < T} T^{i\alpha_1(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3)} w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3) = (\log T) F(\alpha_1; T).$$

Since the “spike” term in $F(\alpha_2; T)$ is $(1 + o(1))T^{-2|\alpha_2|} \log T$, we expect that $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; T)$ is approximately $T^{-2|\alpha_2|} \log T F(\alpha_1; T)$ when $|\alpha_2| \leq \log \log T / (2 \log T)$.

The same argument applies when α_1 or $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is near 0.

Hypothesis LC on $F(\alpha; T)$

More generally, $F(\alpha; T)$ degenerates into a lower level sum on the set $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^N S_n$, where $S_n = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid \alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = 0\}$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Define $\eta_n = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid |\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}| < \log \log T / (2 \log T) \text{ for some } \mathbf{y} \in S_n\}$ and $\eta = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \eta_n$. Then

Hypothesis LC

$$F(\alpha; T) = F_*(\alpha; T) + F^*(\alpha; T)$$

- $F_*(\alpha; T)$ is supported on η and $F_*(\alpha; T) \ll |F(\tilde{\alpha}_n; T)| T^{-2|\alpha_n|} \log T$ if $\alpha \in \eta_n$ for some $1 \leq n \leq N$.
- For any fixed $K > 0$, $F^*(\alpha; T)$ is bounded on the $(N-1)$ -dimensional cube $[-K, K]^{N-1}$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

$\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is obtained from α by deleting α_n for $n < N$. If $n = N$, delete any one of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}$.

Higher Analogue of P

To define our analogue of $P(\beta; T)$ let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_L)$ with $b_l > 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq L$. We define $\Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n)$ by

$$\prod_{l=1}^L \zeta_{\sigma}^{\prime}(s + b_l) = (-1)^L \sum_n \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n)}{n^s},$$

where $\sigma > 1$. Then

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n) = \sum_{p_1^{\nu_1} p_2^{\nu_2} \dots p_L^{\nu_L} = n} \frac{\log p_1 \dots \log p_L}{p_1^{b_1 \nu_1} p_2^{b_2 \nu_2} \dots p_L^{b_L \nu_L}}$$

Thus $\Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n)$ is supported on those positive integers n that are representable as a product of L , not necessarily distinct, prime powers.

Higher Analogue of P

We define $R_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ to be the sum of the residues of

$$\prod_{l=1}^L \zeta' \zeta^{-1}(s + b_l) \frac{x^s}{s}$$

at the points $s = 1 - b_l$. Next we set

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{b}}(x) = (-1)^L \sum'_{n \leq x} \Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n),$$

where the prime on the sum indicates that the term $\Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ is counted with weight $1/2$. We also write

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{b}}(x) = \Psi_{\mathbf{b}}(x) - R_{\mathbf{b}}(x).$$

Thus, $\Delta_{\mathbf{b}}$ measures the difference between $\Psi_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ and its expected value.

Higher Analogue of P

Now let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n > 0$ and $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ as before. Also let $\beta > 0$ and $1 \leq J < N$. Writing $\mathbf{a}_J = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_J)$ and $\mathbf{a}'_J = (a_{J+1}, a_{J+2}, \dots, a_N)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} P(\beta, \mathbf{a}, J; T) &= \\ &= \int_1^\infty \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J} \left(x + \frac{x}{T} \right) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(x) \right) \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J} \left(x + \frac{x}{T} \right) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J}(x) \right) \frac{dx}{x^{2+2\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is our analogue of $P(\beta; T)$.

Equivalence between I and F

Theorem 1

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, where the $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ and are positive, and let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$ consist of $J \geq 0$ ones followed by $K \geq 1$ negative ones. Then

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T\right) = T \log^N T \int_{U_{N,\varepsilon}} F^*(\alpha; T) T^{-\sum_{n \leq N} a_n \varepsilon_n \alpha_n} d\alpha + o(T \log^N T),$$

where $U_{N,\varepsilon} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1 > 0, \dots, \varepsilon_N \alpha_N > 0\}$
and $\alpha_N = -\sum_{n < N} \alpha_n$.

Equivalence between I and F

Theorem 1

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, where the $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ and are positive, and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$ consist of $J \geq 0$ ones followed by $K \geq 1$ negative ones. Then

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}; T\right) = T \log^N T \int_{U_{N,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}} F^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; T) T^{-\sum_{n \leq N} a_n \varepsilon_n \alpha_n} d\boldsymbol{\alpha} + o(T \log^N T),$$

where $U_{N,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1 > 0, \dots, \varepsilon_N \alpha_N > 0\}$ and $\alpha_N = -\sum_{n < N} \alpha_n$.

When $N = 2$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (1, -1)$, $\mathbf{a} = (A/\log T, A/\log T)$ and $\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1$, we have $U_{2,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha_1 > 0\}$, $\sum_{n \leq 2} a_n \varepsilon_n \alpha_n = 2A\alpha_1/\log T$ and

$$I(1/2, \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}; T) \sim T(\log T)^2 \int_0^\infty F^*(\alpha_1; T) e^{-2A\alpha_1} d\alpha_1.$$

Equivalence between I and F

Corollary 1

With the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1, we have

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T\right) \ll T \log^N T.$$

Equivalence between I and P

Theorem 2

Assume RH and let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n = A_n / \log T$ and $A_n > 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Also let $1 \leq J < N$ and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$, where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_J$ are all one, and $\varepsilon_{J+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_N$ are all negative one. Then for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 9/10$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \\ = \frac{\pi}{2} P\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, J; T\right) + O\left(\frac{\log^{2N+1} T}{T^2}\right).$$

The constant implied by the O -term depends on A_1, \dots, A_N but not on σ, J , or T .

Equivalence between I and P

Theorem 3

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Suppose that C is fixed and positive, and that $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n = A_n / \log T$ and each A_n fixed and positive. Define

$$I_{\pm}(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_{-T}^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) dt.$$

If there exists a number $f(C, \mathbf{A}, J)$ such that one of the following asymptotic formulas holds, then the other also holds:

$$I_{\pm} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, \varepsilon; T \right) \sim f(C, \mathbf{A}, J) T \log^N T,$$
$$P \left(\frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, J; T \right) \sim f(C, \mathbf{A}, J) \frac{\log^N T}{2T}.$$

Recall Theorem 1 ($I \leftrightarrow F$)

Theorem 1

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, where the $a_n \approx 1/\log T$ and are positive, and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$ consist of $J \geq 0$ ones followed by $K \geq 1$ negative ones. Then

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}; T\right) = T \log^N T \int_{U_{N,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}} F^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; T) T^{-\sum_{n \leq N} a_n \varepsilon_n \alpha_n} d\boldsymbol{\alpha} + o(T \log^N T),$$

where $U_{N,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1 > 0, \dots, \varepsilon_N \alpha_N > 0\}$
and $\alpha_N = -\sum_{n < N} \alpha_n$.

Proof of Theorem 1

Assume RH.

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} e^{-\delta n} + \sum_{\rho} \delta^{s-\rho} \Gamma(\rho - s) + O(\delta^{\sigma-1/4} \log t)$$

uniformly for $e^{-\sqrt{t}} \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{9}{8}$.

Lemma 1

Assume RH. Let $X = (\log T)^{4/3}$, $a \approx 1/\log T$ with $a > 0$, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then for $|t| < T$ we have

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}\left(\frac{1}{2} + a + i\varepsilon t\right) = - \sum_{\gamma} R(-a + i\varepsilon(\gamma - t)) + O(X^{1/2}),$$

where $R(z) = X^z \Gamma(z)$.

Proof of Theorem 1

Recall the definition of I :

$$I(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + \mathbf{a}_n + i\varepsilon_n t) dt.$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T\right) = (-1)^N M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) + O(T (\log T)^{N-1/3}),$$

where

$$M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{\gamma_n} R(-\mathbf{a}_n + i\varepsilon_n(\gamma_n - t)) \right) dt.$$

Truncate the sums of γ_n 's, and extend the integral from $-\infty$ to ∞ . Then

$$M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N R(-\mathbf{a}_n + i\varepsilon_n(\gamma_n - t)) dt + O((\log T)^B)$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Change the variable $t \rightarrow t + \gamma_N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) &= \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N R(-a_n + i\varepsilon_n(\gamma_n - \gamma_N - t)) dt \\ &\quad + O((\log T)^B) \\ &= \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_N, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{N-1} - \tilde{\gamma}_N) + O((\log T)^B), \end{aligned}$$

where $L = (1/2\pi) \log T$, $\tilde{\gamma}_j = \gamma_j L$ and

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N R(-a_n + i\varepsilon_n(u_n/L - t)) dt$$

for $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{N-1})$ and $u_N = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1

We define $r(\mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u})w(\mathbf{u}/L)^{-1}$ and $w(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{4}{4+x_n^2}$. Then

$$M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) \sim \sum_{0 < \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N < T} r(\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_N, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{N-1} - \tilde{\gamma}_N) w(\gamma_1 - \gamma_N, \dots, \gamma_{N-1} - \gamma_N).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} r(\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_N, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{N-1} - \tilde{\gamma}_N) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \hat{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) e^{2\pi i \sum_{n < N} \alpha_n (\tilde{\gamma}_n - \tilde{\gamma}_N)} d\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \hat{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) T^{i \sum_{n < N} \alpha_n (\gamma_n - \gamma_N)} d\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$M(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) \sim N(T) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} F(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; T) \hat{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T\right) = (-1)^N N(T) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} F(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; T) \widehat{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\alpha} + O(T L^{N-1/3})$$

Our next task is to find a useful expression for $\widehat{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.

Proof of Theorem 1

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T\right) = (-1)^N N(T) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} F(\alpha; T) \widehat{r}(\alpha) d\alpha + O(T L^{N-1/3})$$

Our next task is to find a useful expression for $\widehat{r}(\alpha)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{r}(\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} r(\mathbf{u}) e^{-2\pi i \alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 + \frac{u_n^2}{4L^2}\right) e^{-2\pi i \alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 L^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_n^2}\right) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}) e^{-2\pi i \alpha \cdot \mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 L^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_n^2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N R(-a_n + i\varepsilon_n(u_n/L - t)) e^{-2\pi i \alpha_n u_n} \right) dt du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(-a_N - i\varepsilon_N t) \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N-1} R(-a_n + i\varepsilon_n u_n/L) e^{-2\pi i \alpha_n (u_n + Lt)} \right) dt du\end{aligned}$$

by the substitutions $u_n \rightarrow u_n + tL$ for $n < N$. Since $R(z) = X^z \Gamma(z)$, we can apply Lemma 2 to above equation.

Lemma 2

Let $0 < a < 1$, $A \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iA\xi} \Gamma(-a + i\varepsilon\xi) d\xi = 2\pi e^{\varepsilon a A} (e^{-e^{-\varepsilon A}} - 1).$$

Recall Theorem 2 ($I \leftrightarrow P$)

Theorem 2

Assume RH and let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n = A_n / \log T$ and $A_n > 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Also let $1 \leq J < N$ and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$, where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_J$ are all one, and $\varepsilon_{J+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_N$ are all negative one. Then for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 9/10$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \\ = \frac{\pi}{2} P\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{a}, J; T\right) + O\left(\frac{\log^{2N+1} T}{T^2}\right).$$

The constant implied by the O -term depends on A_1, \dots, A_N but not on σ, J , or T .

Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 3

Assume RH. Suppose that $|b_l| < \frac{1}{10}$ with $\operatorname{Re} b_l > 0$. Then for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma_0 \leq \frac{9}{10}$,

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{b}}(x) = (-1)^N \sum'_{n \leq x} \Lambda_{\mathbf{b}}(n) = R_{\mathbf{b}}(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{\zeta'(s + b_l)}{\zeta(s + b_l)} \frac{x^s}{s} ds,$$

where $R_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ is the sum of the residues of

$$\prod_{l=1}^L \frac{\zeta'(s + b_l)}{\zeta(s + b_l)} \frac{x^s}{s}$$

at the points $s = 1 - b_l$.

Lemma 3 holds by Perron's formula.

Proof of Theorem 2

Recalling that $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(x) = (-1)^N \sum'_{n \leq x} \Lambda_{\mathbf{a}_J}(n) - R_{\mathbf{a}_J}(x)$, we see from Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(e^{\tau+\delta}) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(e^{\tau})}{e^{\sigma\tau}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_j + it) \left(\frac{e^{\delta(\sigma+it)} - 1}{\sigma + it} \right) e^{-2\pi it(-\tau/2\pi)} dt \end{aligned}$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{9}{10}$. This expresses the left-hand side as a Fourier transform.

Proof of Theorem 2

We use Plancherel's formula in the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\tau) \widehat{g}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) g(-t) dt,$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \tau} dt$$

and similarly for \widehat{g} . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(e^{\tau+\delta}) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(e^{\tau})) (\Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J}(e^{\tau+\delta}) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J}(e^{\tau})) e^{-2\sigma\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + \mathbf{a}_n + i\varepsilon n t) \left| \frac{e^{\delta(\sigma+it)} - 1}{\sigma + it} \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Compare it with the definition $P(\beta, \mathbf{a}, J; T) =$

$$\int_1^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}\left(x + \frac{x}{T}\right) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}_J}(x) \right) \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J}\left(x + \frac{x}{T}\right) - \Delta_{\mathbf{a}'_J}(x) \right) \frac{dx}{x^{2+2\beta}}.$$

Recall Theorem 3 ($I \leftrightarrow P$)

Theorem 3

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Suppose that C is fixed and positive, and that $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ with $a_n = A_n / \log T$ and each A_n fixed and positive. Define

$$I_{\pm}(\sigma, \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon; T) = \int_{-T}^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + a_n + i\varepsilon_n t) dt.$$

If there exists a number $f(C, \mathbf{A}, J)$ such that one of the following asymptotic formulas holds, then the other also holds:

$$I_{\pm} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, \varepsilon; T \right) \sim f(C, \mathbf{A}, J) T \log^N T,$$
$$P \left(\frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, J; T \right) \sim f(C, \mathbf{A}, J) \frac{\log^N T}{2T}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3

The first asymptotic formula of Theorem 3 is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\pm} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, \varepsilon; T \right) &= \int_{-T}^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log T} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \operatorname{Re} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log T} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) dt \\ &\sim f(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}, J) T \log^N T. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$g(t, \eta) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log \eta} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) / (f(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}, J) \log^N \eta).$$

Then it is

$$\int_0^T g(t, T) dt \sim T.$$

Proof of Theorem 3

The second asymptotic formula of Theorem 3 is, by Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\frac{C}{\log T}, \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\log T}, J; T\right) \\ & \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log T} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \\ & = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{Re} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log T} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \\ & \sim f(C, \mathbf{A}, J) \frac{\log^N T}{2T} \end{aligned}$$

and it is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{\infty} g(t, T) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{2T}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3

Therefore, Theorem 3 is to show the equivalence of two asymptotic formulas

$$\int_0^T g(t, T) dt \sim T$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty g(t, T) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{2T},$$

where

$$g(t, \eta) = 2\operatorname{Re} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C + A_n}{\log \eta} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) / (f(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{J}) \log^N \eta).$$

Proof of Theorem 3

We appeal to modified versions of two Lemmas in Goldston's paper. These concern the equivalence under certain conditions of

$$\int_0^T g(t, \eta) dt \sim T \quad (1)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_0^\infty g(t, \eta) \left(\frac{\sin \kappa t}{t} \right)^2 dt \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \kappa \quad (2)$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow 0+$.

Proof of Theorem 3

We appeal to modified versions of two Lemmas in Goldston's paper. These concern the equivalence under certain conditions of

$$\int_0^T g(t, \eta) dt \sim T \quad (1)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_0^\infty g(t, \eta) \left(\frac{\sin \kappa t}{t} \right)^2 dt \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \kappa \quad (2)$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow 0+$. First we see $1 \rightarrow 2$.

Lemma 1 \rightarrow 2

Let $g(t, \eta)$ be a continuous function of t and η for $t \geq 0$ and $\eta \geq 2$. Suppose that $g(t, \eta) \ll \log^N(t+2)$ and that $\int_0^T |g(t, \eta)|^2 dt \ll T$ holds for $\eta \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+1} \eta$. If (1) holds uniformly for $\eta \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+1} \eta$, then (2) holds for $\eta \approx 1/\kappa$.

Proof of Theorem 3

To apply Lemma 1 \rightarrow 2, we should prove that

$$\int_0^T g(t, T) dt \sim T$$

implies

$$\int_0^T g(t, \eta) dt \sim T$$

holds uniformly for $\eta \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+1} \eta$.

Proof of Theorem 3

To apply Lemma 1 \rightarrow 2, we should prove that

$$\int_0^T g(t, T) dt \sim T$$

implies

$$\int_0^T g(t, \eta) dt \sim T$$

holds uniformly for $\eta \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+1} \eta$. It is proved by

Lemma

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let B_1, \dots, B_N be fixed positive real numbers. Suppose that

$\eta \log^{-N-2} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+2} \eta$. Then we have

$$\int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log \eta} + i \varepsilon_n t \right) dt =$$
$$\int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log T} + i \varepsilon_n t \right) dt + O(T \log^{N-1} T \log \log T).$$

Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let B_1, \dots, B_N be fixed positive real numbers. Suppose that

$\eta \log^{-N-2} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+2} \eta$. Then we have

$$\int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log \eta} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) dt = \\ \int_0^T \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log T} + i\varepsilon_n t \right) dt + O(T \log^{N-1} T \log \log T).$$

We use the fact

$$\log \eta = \log T + O(\log \log T)$$

and the following consequence of Corollary 1 : Under RH, AC and LC we have

$$\int_0^T \left| \prod_{j \leq J} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_j}{\log T} + it \right) \right|^2 dt \ll T (\log T)^{2J}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3

For the converse, we need the followings:

$$\int_0^T g(t, \eta) dt \sim T \quad (3)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_0^\infty g(t, \eta) \left(\frac{\sin \kappa t}{t} \right)^2 dt \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \kappa \quad (4)$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow 0+$.

Lemma 4 \rightarrow 3

Let $g(t, \eta)$ be a continuous function of t and η for $t \geq 0$ and $\eta \geq 2$.

Suppose that $g(t, \eta) \ll \log^N(t+2)$ and that $\int_0^T |g(t, \eta)|^2 dt \ll T$ holds for $\eta \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+1} \eta$.

Conversely, if (4) holds uniformly for $\eta^{-1} \log^{-N-1} \eta \leq \kappa \leq \eta^{-1} \log^{N+1} \eta$, then (3) holds for $\eta \approx T$.

Proof of Theorem 3

We also need

Lemma

Assume RH, Hypothesis AC, and Hypothesis LC. Let B_1, \dots, B_N be fixed positive real numbers. Suppose that $\eta \log^{-N-2} \eta \leq T \leq \eta \log^{N+2} \eta$. Then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log \eta} + i \varepsilon_n t \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt =$$
$$\int_0^\infty \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_n}{\log T} + i \varepsilon_n t \right) \left(\frac{\sin t/2T}{t} \right)^2 dt + O(T^{-1} \log^{N-1} T \log \log T).$$

By the similar argument to $1 \rightarrow 2$, we can complete the proof of Theorem 3.

THANK YOU.